

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O.												
Alex	andri	ia, Vi	irgi	nia 🛭	223	13	-1	4	5()		
TIMES.	/ IICP	10.00	· -									

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/882,113	06/15/2001	Shuo-Yen Robert Li	Li 4	. 9816		
7	7590 03/21/2005		EXAMINER			
John T. Peopl 14 Blue Jay Co		KADING, JOSHUA A				
Warren, NJ (ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2661			
			DATE MAILED: 03/21/2005	5		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				ix				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		09/882,113	LI ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Joshua Kading	2661					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowar	ice except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 40-78 is/are pending in the application	1.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)⊠	Claim(s) 44-50 is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>40-43 and 51-78</u> is/are rejected.							
·	7) Claim(s) 40, 42, 44, 48-51, 55, 58, 61, 64, 68, 70, 73, and 76-78 is/are objected to.							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)🖂	The specification is objected to by the Examine	т.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	d in this National	Stage				
	application from the International Bureau	, , ,						
^ \$	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.					
Attachmen	t(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite	. 450)				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date 6-15-01.	5) Notice of Informal P.	atent Application (PTC)-152)				
-4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				

5

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because line 3 states, "nonblocking components are used a building-blocks". This does not make sense. It is believed that applicant intended line 3 to state, --nonblocking components are used as building-blocks--. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

In the "Brief Description of the Drawings" section that begins on page 10 the following are informalities with respect to the figure descriptions:

Page 13, line 15, "FIG. 28A summarizes the paths of FIG. 27 to generate the guide" should be changed to --FIG. 28B summarizes the paths of FIG. 27 to generate the guide--.

Page 14, line 1, "FIG. 30A summarizes the paths of FIG. 24 to generate the guide" should be changed to --FIG. 30B summarizes the paths of FIG. 24 to generate the guide--.

Page 14, line 12, "FIGS. 33A-D" should be changed to --FIGS. 33A-F--.

Page 17, there is no description of FIGS. 56A-D. There should be a description of FIGS. 56A-D.

20 Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

Art Unit: 2661

5

10

15

20

Claims 40, 42, 44, 48-51, 55, 58, 61, 64, 68, 70, 73, and 76-78 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 40, claim 42, claim 44, claim 48, claim 49, claim 50, claim 51, claim 55, claim 58, claim 61, claim 64, claim 68, claim 70, claim 73, claim 76, claim 77, and claim 78 (read: all independent claims) should have a colon inserted after the word "comprising" at the end of the preamble of each claim.

Claim 40, line 2; claim 42, line 2; claim 51, lines 1-2; claim 64, lines 1-2; claim 68, lines 1-2 and 4; claim 77, line 2; and claim 78, line 2 state, "the representation". There is no antecedent basis for this claim limitation. Therefore, it is suggested "the representation" be changed to --a representation--.

Claim 44, line 7; claim 48, line 6; claim 49, line 5; and claim 50, line 3; claim 55, line 3; claim 58, line 3; and claim 61, line 3 state, "the integers 1 to n". There is no antecedent basis for this claim limitation. Therefore, it is suggested "the integers 1 to n" be changed to --integers 1 to n--; the word "the" is simply deleted.

Claim 50, lines 5 and 7 state, "the preceding exchange" and "the succeeding exchange" respectively. There is no antecedent basis for either of these limitations.

Therefore, it is suggested "the preceding exchange" be changed to --a preceding exchange-- and "the succeeding exchange" be changed to --a succeeding exchange--.

Claim 55, line 3; claim 58, line 3; claim 61, lines 3 and 5; claim 70, line 2; claim 73, line 2; and claim 76, lines 2 and 4 state, "the trace" or "the guide". There is no antecedent basis for these claim limitations. Therefore, it is suggested "the trace" be changed to --a trace-- and "the guide" be changed to --a guide--.

Art Unit: 2661

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

5

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

10

Claims 40-43 and 51-76 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

15

Regarding claims 40-43, 51-54, and 64-67, applicant discloses, "implementing the equivalent network as..." where the network is then described in a mathematical representation (see claim 40 for example). It is unclear however, from the claims and specification how one of ordinary skill in the art would actually implement the equivalent network to the "given network" with the information given. For instance in claim 40, how does the applicant use "a permutation κ on integers from 1 to n" to arrive at the given representation of the equivalent network?

20

Regarding claims 55-63, applicant discloses, "an extra input exchange induced by σ^{-1} " and "an extra output exchange induced by π ", where σ and π are permutations. How are an input exchange and an output exchange "induced" by the given permutations?

25

5

10

15

20

Regarding claims 68-69, applicant discloses, "a second trace induced by a permutation" and "a second guide induced by a permutation". How are a trace and a guide "induced" by a permutation?

Regarding claims 70, 73, and 76, applicant discloses, "an extra input exchange induced by λ " and "an extra output exchange induced by π ", where λ and π are permutations. As with claims 55-63, how are an input exchange and an output exchange "induced" by the given permutations?

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 40, 41, 51-54, 68, 69, 77, and 78 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claims 40, 41, 51-54, 77, and 78, applicant discloses, for example, "k-stage bit-permuting network" in the preamble and "a permutation κ ". Do k and κ represent the same piece(s) of information? If not, it is recommended that a clear distinction be made between the two variables so that there is no confusion.

Regarding claims 68 and 69, applicant discloses, "input exchange X_{λ} " and "output exchange X_{π} ". It is unclear what elements "X" consist of. The element "X" is merely stated as a variable with no further definition or explanation.

Allowable Subject Matter

5

10

15

20

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Claims 44-47, 48, 49, and 50 are allowable because the prior art of record, in combination with the other claim limitations, fails to teach the use of a permutation for "rearranging the preceding exchange and the succeeding exchange" of a given network for the purpose of "implementing the equivalent network so that a stage in the equivalent network corresponding to the identified stage has the rearranged preceding exchange and the rearranged succeeding exchange."

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joshua Kading whose telephone number is (571) 272-3070. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 8:30AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chau Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-3126. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 7

Joshua Kading Examiner Art Unit 2661

March 15, 2005

BOB PHUNKULH PRIMARY EXAMINER